IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 98 OF 2006.

Vidyapeeth Shikshan Prasarak
Mandal through its Chairman
Ramchandra Ananda Kokate. ... Petitioner.

V/s.

Grampanchayat Shittur Tarf
Malkapur and others. ... Respondents.

P.D.Dalvi for the petitioner.

K.V.Saste for respondent No.1.

Ms.V.S.Mhaispurkar, AGP for respondent Nos.2 & 3.

G.N.Salunke for respondent Nos.4 to 20.

CORAM: V.C.DAGA, J.

DATED: 30th August 2006.

P.C.:

. The petition against the intimation is not maintainable.

. The petition has to be against the impugned order. Learned counsel for the petitioner produced photostat copies of two applications. The material produced before me does not justify the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner that certified copy of the order was applied for and the same was not granted. There is no whisper in both these applications to seek certified copy of the order.

In that view of the matter, it is clear that the petitioner did not apply for certified copy of the order. In absence of impugned order petition is not maintainable as observed hereinabove. The same is liable to be dismissed as not maintainable. However, it is made clear that it would be open for the petitioner to file appropriate petition after getting certified copy of the order.

2. Petition is, thus, dismissed as not maintainable with liberty reserved with no order as to costs.

(V.C.DAGA, J.)